

Avermectin-resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes of Boer goats and Dorper sheep in Switzerland

R. Artho, M. Schnyder, L. Kohler, P.R. Torgerson, H. Hertzberg*

Institute of Parasitology, University of Zurich, Wintherthurerstrasse 266a, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +41-44-635-85-16; fax: +41-44-635-89-07. *E-mail address:* hubertus.hertzberg@access.unizh.ch

Summary

Anthelmintic resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes among small ruminants is widespread in South Africa and Dorper sheep and Boer goats have been imported into Switzerland from this country on a number of occasions. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the occurrence of avermectin (AVM) resistant gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) in these breeds in Switzerland. A total of 24 and 12 Boer goat and Dorper sheep enterprises respectively participated in the study. According to the faecal egg count reduction test (FECRT) AVM-resistant GIN populations were found in 46% and 58% of the farms with Boer goats and Dorper sheep respectively. *Haemonchus contortus* and *Trichostrongylus* spp. were the dominant resistant species according to larval cultures. In the farms with detected AVM-resistance the animals were additionally treated with levamisole after natural reinfection. With the exception of one farm with a 'close-to cutoff-result' the FECRT gave no indication for resistance against levamisole. The results indicate that AVM-resistance is widespread in Swiss small ruminant farms keeping Boer goats and Dorper sheep. The common tradition of grazing animals from different farms on prealpine and alpine pastures could favour the spread of resistant populations within the country.

Key words: small ruminants – gastrointestinal nematodes - avermectins - anthelmintic resistance – nematodes – Switzerland

Introduction

Anthelmintic resistance of gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) has become a global problem for the sheep and goat industry (Mwamachi et al., 1995; Waller, 1997; Gopal et al., 1999; van Wyk et al., 1999; Hertzberg and Bauer, 2000; Zajac and Gipson, 2000; Veale, 2002; Chandrawathani et al., 2003). A particular threat arises from GIN populations which have developed multiple resistance against more than one anthelmintic class (van Wyk and Malan, 1988; Watson and Hosking, 1990; Waller, 1994).

In Europe the situation is characterized by a widespread occurrence of benzimidazole resistance (Hertzberg and Bauer, 2000). Levamisole resistance has been reported from France (Chartier et al., 2001), Denmark (Mortensen et al., 2003), Great Britain (Bartley et al., 2004), Slovakia (Várady et al., 1994) and Germany (Harder, 2002). Single cases of AVM-resistance in goat nematodes are documented from Scotland (Jackson et al., 1992) and Denmark (Maingi et al., 1996). The first case of AVM-resistance in Switzerland was recently reported from a small farm in the area of Zurich keeping a flock of Boer goats imported from South Africa (Schnyder et al., 2005). The isolated population of *H. contortus* exhibited resistance against mebendazole and ivermectin.

After this initial finding it was the aim of the present study to investigate the occurrence of AVM-resistant GIN populations in Boer goats and Dorper sheep, which are the major two small ruminants breeds of South African origin, imported into Switzerland.

Animals, materials and methods

A total of 24 out of 60 South African Boer goat farms and 12 out of 21 Dorper sheep farms distributed over the country and contacted by the respective breeding organisations, were examined during the year 2004. In addition, 11 farms from eastern Switzerland with local sheep breeds were randomly chosen. Owners were asked to respond to a questionnaire including general farm management, animal movements, worm control practices, and anthelmintic usage. Conditions for inclusion in the study were the lack of anthelmintic treatment during the previous weeks and a mean faecal egg count (FEC) ≥ 200 per g faeces. This was determined by a pooled faecal sample sent by the owners. Animals in the last two months of gestation and animals < 4 months were excluded. Pre- and 10-14 days post-treatment samples were individually collected directly from the rectum. Animals were treated with the recommended dose of doramectin (0.2 mg per estimated kg body weight, Dectomax[®], Pfizer). Strongyle nematode FECs were determined using the modified McMaster technique according to Schmidt, (1971), with a sensitivity of 50 eggs per gram.

Efficacy of avermectins was tested with the faecal egg count reduction test (FECRT), based on the recommendations of the World Association for the Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology (Coles et al., 1992). The mean egg excretion before and 10-14 days after the anthelmintic treatment were compared. Calculation of the FECR was performed using maximum likelihood mathematical techniques with a negative binomial statistic model (Torgerson et al., 2005). Resistance was considered present if the FECR was less than 95% and the lower 95% confidence limit (C.I.) for the reduction was less than 90%. If only one of the two criteria is met, anthelmintic resistance was suspected (Coles et al., 1992), but where the animal number is too small, C.I. was not possible to calculate.

If resistance against doramectin was present, the respective strongyle population was also tested for resistance against levamisole under the same conditions, using

a combined product with triclabendazole (Endex[®], 8.75%, Novartis) which is the only registered formulation including levamisole in Switzerland. Sheep received the recommended dose of 7.5 mg levamisole/kg body weight, while goats received 12 mg levamisole/kg body weight (Chartier and Hoste, 1997). In cases of detected or suspected anthelmintic resistance, faecal cultures were performed (Eckert, 1960) and the infectious third stage larvae (L3) differentiated according to MAFF (1986) and Levine (1968) at 400 magnification. Relations between the farm specific parameters and the resistance situation (based on the FECR values) were tested for significance using Chi-square test and the significance level was determined at $p=0.005$.

Results

A total of 174 Boer goats, 61 Dorper sheep and 82 meat sheep from domestic breeds were included in the study (Table 1). Two farms held both Boer goats and Dorper sheep (B16 identical with D2; B17 identical with D6) and one farm held Dorper sheep together with domestic White Alpine breed sheep (D3 identical with S8). In total, 47 farms located mainly in the eastern and the central parts of Switzerland participated in the study. Flock size ranged between 1 and 22 animals, with a mean of 7 animals. Seventy-three percent of the farms participated with less than 10 animals.

AVM-resistant GIN populations were found in 11 Boer goat flocks (46%), in 7 Dorper sheep flocks (58%) and in 2 flocks with domestic meat sheep (18%, Table 1) (n.s.). In one of these farms (S8), animals were held together with few Dorper sheep, which also showed AVM-resistance. In the other farm (S9) only the ram, temporarily moved from another farm, housed resistant nematodes. There was no correlation between the history of direct import from South Africa or animal transfer in Switzerland and the presence of AVM-resistance ($p>0.05$).

Larval differentiation after doramectin treatment was possible in 12 of 20 flocks with resistant populations. With one exception, *H. contortus* was the dominating resistant species (Table 3). In 13 out of 20 flocks a FECRT for levamisole resistance was undertaken. Twelve parasite populations (92%) were sensitive to levamisole, whilst in one population the result was doubtful (FECR = 95%, C.I = 78-97%). In this flock the surviving strongyle population consisted of 80% *Trichostrongylus* spp. and 20% *Ostertagia* spp.

In 24 out of 36 farms (67%) Boer goats and Dorper sheep were held for meat production and in 23 farms (64%) for breeding purposes. Seven out of 24 (29%) Boer goat farms and 4 out of 12 Dorper sheep farms (33%) held directly imported animals in their flocks. All 24 Boer goat and 10 Dorper sheep farmers (83%) had purchased animals from other Swiss farms. Except for one Boer goat farm, goats and Dorper sheep were allowed to graze regularly. In 14 (58%) Boer goat and 11 (92%) Dorper sheep farms other small ruminants or South American camelids were kept. On nearly all of these farms Dorper sheep and Boer goats had direct contact with the other ruminants. Four (17%) Boer goat and 1 (8%) Dorper sheep farm shared pastures with small ruminants from other farms. Twenty-five percent of the Boer goat owners and a third of the Dorper sheep owners grazed their animals on alpine pastures distant from the home farm during the summer. On these pastures animals from 4 Boer goat and all Dorper sheep farms grazed together with animals from 10 to 30 other farms. The question, if parasites represent a problem on their farm, was negated by 15 (63%) of the Boer goat, half of the Dorper sheep and by 5 of the 11 owners of domestic sheep. For nematode control the majority of farmers within the 3 groups relied on both, macrocyclic lactones and benzimidazoles (Table 2). In 11 out of 36 Boer goat and Dorper sheep farms (31%), macrocyclic lactones were used regularly against ectoparasites, often due to the cantonal veterinary legislation. The frequency of anthelmintic treatments averaged at approximately 4 per year in all 3 types of farms. Nineteen (53%) Boer goat and Dorper sheep

owners, respectively 6 (55%) owners of other sheep breeds stated not to have problems with anthelmintic resistance so far.

Discussion

After the initial finding of a GIN population in Boer goats, resistant against ivermectin and benzimidazoles (Schnyder et al., 2005), the aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of AVM-resistance in the Swiss population of this breed. Boer goats were initially introduced from South Africa into Switzerland some years ago without any precautions to prevent introduction of anthelmintic resistant GIN. The study was extended to Dorper sheep to investigate a second type of animal imported from South Africa.

The detected prevalences of 46% and 58% positive Boer goat and Dorper sheep farms respectively show that AVM-resistant GIN have already successfully established in these breeds. Levamisole was found to be still fully effective, confirming observations from the farmers using this compound. With a participation rate of 40% and 58% of Boer goat and Dorper sheep farms respectively representative numbers of animals of these breeds were included in the study. In comparison with other studies the low number of animals per farm is obvious. Based on the average of seven animals it was not appropriate to establish an experimental design including untreated control groups in the single farms. An untreated control group may serve as an indicator for documenting natural variation in the faecal egg counts, occurring independently of the applied drug (Coles et al., 1992), but according to the international standards such control groups are not mandatory for the documentation of anthelmintic resistance based on the FECRT. In accordance with the first documented case (Schnyder et al., 2005), *H. contortus* was found to be the dominant AVM-resistant species on the basis of coprocultures, a pattern which is unique for European conditions. Previous findings of AVM-resistant trichostrongylid populations were reported from Scotland and Denmark (Jackson et al., 1992; Maingi

et al., 1996). In both cases *Ostertagia* spp. seemed to be the dominant resistant nematode. In the case of Denmark there was multiple resistance including BZ's and levamisole (Maingi et al., 1996). In the Southern hemisphere *H. contortus* is the dominant nematode species and has the most widespread resistance problem in Australia (Le Jambre, 1993; Waller et al., 1995) and Malaysia (Chandrawathani et al., 2003). Triple resistant *H. contortus* have been detected from the Southern United States (Zajac and Gipson, 2000). In Africa, multiple resistant *H. contortus* are locally a severe problem in Kenya (Mwamachi et al., 1995) and South Africa (van Wyk et al., 1997), from where Dorper sheep and Boer goats were imported into Switzerland.

With one exception AVM's had been used in all farms which were affected by AVM-resistance. The average treatment frequency of 4 anthelmintic applications per year is slightly higher compared with data from a recent nationwide study, indicating an average treatment frequency of 3.7 and 3.2 for sheep and goats, respectively (Meyer, 2001). Taken into account that AVM were not the only anthelmintics used, the risk for *de novo* genesis of AVM-resistance in the investigated farms is probably relatively low. Because AVM-resistance in Switzerland was previously absent, it is likely that the resistant GIN populations were imported with their hosts. However a confirmation of this hypothesis was beyond the scope of the present study. In general, international and national animal movements result in a considerable risk for disseminating resistant GIN populations. In one of the few documented cases benzimidazole-resistant GIN were imported with sheep from Great Britain and France into Greece (Himonas and Papadopoulos, 1994). In a second case Angora sheep exported from New Zealand harboured an AVM-resistant trichostrongyle population which was successfully detected in Slovakia before being released (Várady et al., 1993). Until 2004 small ruminants could legally be imported from South Africa into Switzerland or into the European Union without any quarantine

measures regarding resistant helminth populations. Now regulations have changed so that only embryos can be directly or indirectly imported.

Farmers imported Boer goats and Dorper sheep into Switzerland to use these robust and fairly unpretentious animals to improve, both quantitatively and qualitatively the meat production of some of the local breeds. Although only a minority of farmers had directly imported animals of South African origin, about 50% of them were trading with the animals, establishing new flocks with imported animals or with their offspring. This situation probably explains the absence of a statistical correlation between the presence of directly imported animals and the occurrence of AVM-resistance.

Sheep and goats grazed throughout the year. Thus, the conditions for persistent contamination of herbage and subsequent reinfections were present. Co-grazing or alternate usage of pasture of Boer goats and Dorper sheep with animals of local breeds was frequently observed. This serves as an epidemiologically important interface for the dissemination of resistant helminth populations. One documented case from the present study is a farm keeping mainly sheep of the White Alpine breed. The farmer purchased some Dorper sheep, which were found to harbour AVM-resistant *H. contortus*. Subsequently the White Alpine sheep were found positive for AVM-resistant GIN, probably derived from the Dorper sheep. In a second case, only the ram, which was present temporarily on the farm, was harbouring resistant nematodes; in this case transmission to the other animals has yet to occur. The current situation is characterized by a persistent dissemination of resistant helminth populations into areas which lack any direct contact to Boer goats and Dorper sheep. This trend will be potentiated by temporary common grazing of animals of different farms during summer, which is a typical feature of the prealpine and alpine grazing management. The risk is even enhanced by the common and in most cases mandatory practise to treat sheep with AVM's prior to transfer to alpine pastures as a prophylactic measure against sheep scab (Jacobber et al., in press).

This illustrates the conflicting aims of avoiding resistance and concurrent prophylaxis of other diseases. So far, the continuous monitoring of several hundred farms on the basis of quantitative faecal egg counts, has not indicated a noticeable prevalence of AVM-resistant GIN populations in the domestic sheep and goat population (M. Schönmann, personal communication). However, despite the cessation of imports from South Africa, it is likely that the established population of resistant GIN will be sufficient to survive and possibly expand. Farmers are therefore encouraged to perform coprologically based quarantine measures on the basis of the remaining effective anthelmintics. Due to the lack of efficacy of the benzimidazoles in 80 - 90% of the Swiss farms (Meyer, 2001) levamisole is presently the only remaining options.

Acknowledgements

Thank you very much to all the sheep and goat owners for their help and also to Professor Alfred Metzler for reading the dissertation.

Literatur

Bartley, D.J., Jackson, F., Jackson, E., Sargison, N., 2004. Characterisation of two triple resistant field isolates of *Teladorsagia* from Scottish lowland sheep farms. Vet. Parasitol. 123, 189-199.

Chandrawathani, P., Waller, P.J., Adnan, M., Høglund, J., 2003. Evolution of high-level, multiple anthelmintic resistance on a sheep farm in Malaysia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 35, 17-25.

- 249 Chartier, C., Hoste, H., 1997: Response to challenge infection with *Haemonchus*
250 *contortus* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* in dairy goats. Differences between high
251 and low-producers. Vet. Parasitol. 73, 267-276.
- 252
- 253 Chartier, C., Soubirac, F., Pors, I., Silvestre, A., Hubert, J., Couquet, C., Cabaret, J.,
254 2001. Prevalence of anthelmintic resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes of dairy
255 goats under extensive management conditions in southwestern France. J.
256 Helminthol. 75, 325-330.
- 257
- 258 Coles, G.C., Bauer, C., Borgsteede, F.H., Geerts, S., Klei, T.R., Taylor, M.A., Waller,
259 P.J., 1992. World Association for the Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology
260 (W.A.A.V.P.) methods for the detection of anthelmintic resistance in nematodes of
261 veterinary importance. Vet. Parasitol. 44, 35-44.
- 262
- 263 Eckert, J., 1960. Die Diagnose des Magen-Darm-Strongylidenbefalls des Schafes
264 durch Differenzierung der freilebenden dritten Larven. Zbl. Vet. Med. 7, 612-630.
- 265
- 266 Gopal, R.M., Pomroy, W.E., West, D.M., 1999. Resistance of field isolates of
267 *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* and *Ostertagia circumcincta* to ivermectin. Int. J.
268 Parasitol. 29, 781-786.
- 269
- 270 Harder, A., 2002. Chemotherapeutic approaches to nematodes: current knowledge
271 and outlook. Parasitol. Res. 88, 272-277.
- 272
- 273 Hertzberg, H., Bauer, C., 2000. Anthelminthika-Resistenzen bei Magen-Darm-
274 Strongyliden von Schafen und Ziegen: Aktuelles über Verbreitung, Epidemiologie,
275 Vorbeugemassnahmen und Alternativen zum Anthelminthika-Einsatz. Berl. Münch.
276 Tierärztl. Wsch. 113, 122-128.

277

278 Himonas, C., Papadopoulos, E., 1994. Anthelmintic resistance in imported sheep.
279 Vet. Rec. 134, 456.

280

281 Jackson, F., Jackson, E., Coop, R.L., 1992: Evidence of multiple anthelmintic
282 resistance nematodes in a strain of *Teladorsagia circumcincta* (*Ostertagia*
283 *circumcincta*) from goats in Scotland. Res. Vet. Sci. 53, 371-374.

284

285 Jacober, Ph., Ochs, H., Torgerson, P.R., Schnyder, M., Deplazes, P., in press. A
286 method for sheep scab control by applying selective treatment based on flock
287 serology. Vet. Parasitol.

288

289 Le Jambre, L.F., 1993. Ivermectin-resistant *Haemonchus contortus* in Australia.
290 Australian Vet. J. 9, 357.

291

292 Levine, N.D., 1968. Nematode parasites of domestic animals and of man. Burgess
293 Publishing Company Minneapolis, 165-273 pp.

294

295 MAFF, 1986. Manual of veterinary parasitology laboratory techniques, In: Ministry of
296 Agriculture, Fisheries and Food. Ref. Book 418, London, UK.

297

298 Maingi, N., Bjorn, H., Thamsborg, S.M., Bogh, H.O., 1996. A survey of anthelmintic
299 resistance in nematode parasites of goats in Denmark. Vet. Parasitol. 66, 53-66.

300

301 Meyer, A., 2001. Verbreitung von Benzimidazol-Resistenzen bei den
302 Trichostrongyliden von Schafen und Ziegen in der Schweiz. Dissertation, University
303 of Zurich.

304

- 305 Mortensen, L.L., Williamson, L.H., Terrill, T.H., Kircher, R.A., Larsen, M., Kaplan,
306 R.M., 2003. Evaluation of prevalence and clinical implications of anthelmintic
307 resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes in goats. J. Am. Vet. Med. Ass. 223, 495-
308 500.
- 309
- 310 Mwamachi, D.M., Audho, J.O., Thorpe, W., Baker, R.L., 1995. Evidence for multiple
311 anthelmintic resistance in sheep and goats reared under the same management in
312 coastal Kenya. Vet. Parasitol. 60, 303-313.
- 313
- 314 Presidente, P.J.A., 1985: Methods for detection of resistance to anthelmintics. In:
315 Anderson N., Waller P.J. (eds.): Resistance in nematodes to anthelmintic drugs., pp:
316 13-27. C.S.I.R.O, Australia.
- 317
- 318 Schmidt, U. 1971. Parasitologische Kotuntersuchung durch ein neues
319 Verdünnungsverfahren. Tierärztl. Umsch. 26, 229-230.
- 320
- 321 Schnyder, M., Torgerson, P.R., Schönmann, M., Kohler, L., Hertzberg, H., 2005.
322 Multiple anthelmintic resistance in *Haemonchus contortus* isolated from South
323 African Boer goats in Switzerland. Vet. Parasitol. 128, 285-290.
- 324
- 325 Torgerson, P.R., Schnyder, M., Hertzberg, H., 2005. Detection of anthelmintic
326 resistance: a comparison of mathematical techniques. Vet. Parasitol. 128, 291-298.
- 327
- 328 van Wyk, J.A., Malan, F.S., 1988. Resistance of field strains of *Haemonchus*
329 *contortus* to ivermectin, closantel, rafoxanide and the benzimidazoles in South Africa.
330 Vet. Rec. 123, 226-228.
- 331

- 332 van Wyk, J.A., Malan, F.S., Gerber, H.M., Alves, R.M., 1997. Two field strains of
333 *Haemonchus contortus* resistant to rafoxanide. Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res. 54, 143-
334 146.
- 335
- 336 van Wyk, J.A., Stenson, M.O., van der Merwe, J.S., Vorsterand, R.J., Viljoen, P.G.,
337 1999. Anthelmintic resistance in South Africa: Surveys indicate an extremely serious
338 situation in sheep and goat farming. Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res. 66, 273-284.
- 339
- 340 Varady, M., Praslicka, J., Corba, J., Vesely, L., 1993. Multiple anthelmintic resistance
341 of nematodes in imported goats. Vet. Rec. 132, 387-388.
- 342
- 343 Várady, M., Praslicka, J., Corba, J., 1994. Treatment of multiple resistant field strain
344 of *Ostertagia* sp. in Cashmere and Angora goats. Int. J. Parasitol. 24, 335-340.
- 345
- 346 Veale, P.J., 2002. Resistance to macrocyclic lactones in nematodes of goats. Aust.
347 Vet. J. 80, 303-304.
- 348
- 349 Waller, P.J., 1994. The development of anthelmintic resistance in ruminant livestock.
350 Acta Trop. 56, 233-243.
- 351
- 352 Waller, P.J., Dash, K.M., Barger, I.A., Le Jambre, L.F., Plant, J., 1995. Anthelmintic
353 resistance in nematode parasites of sheep: Learning from the Australian experience.
354 Vet. Rec. 136, 411-413.
- 355
- 356 Waller, P.J., 1997. Anthelmintic resistance. Vet. Parasitol. 72, 391-405.
- 357
- 358 Watson, T.G., Hosking, B.C., 1990. Evidence for multiple anthelmintic resistance in
359 two nematode parasite genera on a Saanen goat dairy. N. Z. Vet. J. 50-53.

360

361 Zajac, A.M., Gipson, T.A., 2000. Multiple anthelmintic resistance in a goat herd. Vet.
362 Parasitol. 87, 163-172.

363

364

365 Address for Correspondance

366

367 PD Dr. Hubertus Hertzberg, Institut of Parasitology, University of Zurich,
368 Winterthurerstrasse 266a, 8057 Zürich, Fax 044/ 635 89 07,
369 hubertus.hertzberg@access.unizh.ch

370

371

372

373

373 Table 2: Anthelmintic groups and frequency of anthelmintic treatments per year in
 374 the 3 types of farms

		Boer goat	Dorper sheep	Domestic
		farms	farms	sheep farms
		n =24	n = 12	n = 11
Employed anthelmintic	only ML ¹	38	25	9
groups (%)	ML, BZ ²	54	50	64
	others	8	25	27
Annual treatment	1	0	0	0
frequency (%)	2	21	8	36
	3	21	50	18
	4	38	17	18
	> 4	12	17	0
	not known	8	8	27

375 ¹ML=macrocyclic lactones

376 ²BZ=benzimidazoles

377

377 Table 1: FECR% of animals treated with doramectin on Boer goat farms (B 1-24),
 378 Dorper sheep farms (D1-12) and domestic sheep farms (S1-11).

B (n)	FECR% (95% CI)	B (n)	FECR% (95 % CI)	D (n)	FECR% (95% CI)	S (n)	FECR% (95% CI)
B1 (2)	96 ^{1,2}	B13 (6)	100 (100)	D1 (1)	94 ^{1,4}	S1 (1)	100 ^{1,2}
B2 (3)	94 ^{1,2}	B14 (6)	96 (0-99.7)	D2 (2)	19 ^{1,4}	S2 (3)	100 (100)
B3 (3)	100 (100)	B15 (7)	90 ^{1,4}	D3 (3)	53 ^{1,4}	S3 (3)	100 (100)
B4 (4)	95 ^{1,3}	B16 (7)	31 ^{1,4}	D4 (3)	96 ^{1,3}	S4 (4)	100 (100)
B5 (4)	83 ^{1,4}	B17 (7)	62 ^{1,4}	D5 (4)	84 ^{1,4}	S5 (5)	100 (100)
B6 (4)	100 (100)	B18 (8)	100 (100)	D6 (4)	47 ^{1,4}	S6 (9)	99 (97.0-99.9)
B7 (4)	36 ^{1,4}	B19 (9)	69 ^{1,4}	D7 (5)	52 ^{1,4}	S7 (9)	100 (100)
B8 (4)	97 (81.0-99.0)	B20 (12)	44 ^{1,4}	D8 (5)	100 (100)	S8 (10)	75 ^{1,4}
B9 (4)	48 ^{1,4}	B21 (12)	99 (93-99.9)	D9 (6)	100 (100)	S9 (11)	89 ^{1,4}
B10 (4)	100 (100)	B22 (13)	54 ^{1,4}	D10 (12)	93 (0-99.7)	S10 (13)	98 (0-99.9)
B11 (5)	89 ^{1,4}	B23 (19)	77 ^{1,4}	D11 (8)	67 ^{1,4}	S11 (14)	100 (100)
B12 (5)	100 (100)	B24 (22)	99 (96.0-99.9)	D12 (8)	100 (100)		

379

380 n = number of animals sampled

¹ = CI not calculated

381 CI = confidence interval

² = n too small

382

³ = only group sampling

383

⁴ = AVM-resistant

384

385 Table 3: Differentiation of third stage GIN larvae (%) from coprocultures after
 386 treatment with doramectin of Boer goats (B), Dorper sheep (D) and domestic sheep
 387 (S).

Farms (ID)	<i>H. contortus</i>	<i>Trichostrongylus</i> spp.	<i>Cooperia</i> spp.
B5	100	-	-
B7	99	1	-
B11	100	-	-
B16	89	11	-
B19	78	22	-
B20	91	9	-
B22	87	12	1
B23	4	96	-
D2	89	11	-
D3	100	-	-
D11	99	1	-
S8	100	-	-
S9	71	29	-

388

389